

**REPLY/AMENDMENT
FEE TRANSMITTAL**

Attorney Docket No.	95-379
Application Number	09/725,088
Filing Date	November 29, 2000
First Named Inventor	GASPAR
Group Art Unit	2662
Examiner Name	LEVITAN, Dmitry

AMOUNT ENCLOSED

\$ 0

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 4/01/2004)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	20	20	0 ⁽³⁾	X \$18.00 =	\$0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	3	3	0	X \$84.00 =	\$0
					\$ 0
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)					+\$0
Total of above Calculations =					\$0
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					-
TOTAL FEES DUE =					\$0

(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".
(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".
(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".
(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☐ Check enclosed as payment.
- ☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below.

AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to:

Deposit Account No.:

50-0687

OrderNo.: (Client/Matter)

95-379

SUBMITTED BY: MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC

Typed Name	Leon R. Turkevich	Reg. No.	34,035
Signature		Date	January 27, 2005

Docket No.: 95-379

PATENT



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : **EXPEDITED PROCEDURE**
: **UNDER 37 CFR §1.116**

GASPAR

Serial No.: 09/725,088

Group Art Unit: 2662

Filed: November 29, 2000

Examiner: LEVITAN, Dmitry

For: ARRANGEMENT FOR VERIFYING RANDOMNESS OF TBEB
ALGORITHM IN A MEDIA ACCESS CONTROLLER

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

MAILSTOP: AF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed December 16, 2004, applicant hereby submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 §U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Applicant traverses the assertion in the rejection under §112, second paragraph that the recitation specify "determining a randomness of the idle intervals" in each of the claims is somehow unclear, especially since the claims also specify "testing a network device under test having a media access controller configured for generating random numbers for idle intervals in response to sensed collisions, respectively" and "identifying time intervals that the network device under test is transmitting on the network medium", where the idle intervals are relative to the time intervals.